

Message

From: Nassif, Julianne (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JULIANNE.NASSIF]
Sent: 4/13/2010 7:43:38 PM
To: Miller, Christina (SUF) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Users/cn=CMiller]; O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Elisabeth.O'Brien]
CC: Spiegel, Ingrid (SUF) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Ingrid.Spiegel]
Subject: RE: Scheduling

Christina,

I am sorry for the delayed response. I will not be able to meet until the first week in May. Things are very hectic this week and I will be travelling the next two weeks.

Julie

From: Miller, Christina (SUF)
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 10:01 AM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH); O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Cc: Spiegel, Ingrid (SUF)
Subject: Scheduling

Dear Julie and Elisabeth,

I hope this message finds you well. As you can see in the e-mails below, we are trying to use non-testing chemists to testify at trial when the testing chemist is unavailable. What would be the best way to select a non-testing chemist to testify on a particular trial day? There are a few other issues we should discuss as well. Maybe we should meet in person?

I am available at the following times. Maybe one will work for y'all.

April 9, 9 am or 4 pm
April 12, 4 pm
April 14, 9 am or 4 pm

Thank you for your consideration,
Christina

Christina Miller
Chief, District Courts and Community Prosecutions
Suffolk County District Attorney Daniel Conley
One Bulfinch Place
Boston, MA 02114
(617) 619-4191
Cell: [REDACTED]

From: Spiegel, Ingrid (SUF)
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 9:03 AM
To: Miller, Christina (SUF)
Subject: RE: Drug Case Update

Hi Christina -

Has the lab given us any guidance on how to choose a nontesting chemist? Do they have a system in place or should we just be calling and asking for someone to step in?

Thanks,
Ingrid

From: Miller, Christina (SUF)
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2010 3:22 PM
To: SUF-DL-ADA-All
Subject: Drug Case Update

Good Afternoon District ADAs,

A few reminders and updates concerning how we prosecute drug cases in district court. I am including Superior Court and Appellate Unit ADAs, so they can provide input about these procedures and initiatives. As always, I would like the same input from the District Court ADAs.

While the availability of chemists for trial has been sporadic, the District Attorney has proposed some ways in which we can expedite the availability of chemists.

I. Use of Non-Testing Chemist as Witness at Trial

You should seek to use a non-testing chemist if the testing chemist is not available in your case. Of course you will need to arrange this with the lab long before trial to ensure sufficient time for the chemist to review the notes and report of the testing chemist and to be ready to testify.

Your supervisors have been asked to work with you to find a case appropriate for appeal. Generally, you would make a motion in limine to admit the non-testing chemist's testimony. A sample motion is attached, and is available on the S: drive. If the judge denies your motion, you should request that he or she report the question pursuant to Mass. R. Crim. P. 34. In the alternative, you should file a notice of appeal and move to stay the proceedings while the issue is heard on appeal. Once you have done this, contact your supervisor and the Appellate Unit.

Please keep me informed of how these motions are being received and if there is a possibility of a question being reported or an appeal being taken.

If the motion is allowed, predicate questions are available on the S: drive.

<< File: Motion for Non-Testing Chemist.doc >>

II. Use of Drug Expert to Prove Substance is an Illegal Drug

Some of you are already using the strategy of presenting a drug expert to prove that a substance is illegal. To my knowledge, the only substance in which we have proceeded in this manner is marijuana. We should not be summonsing a chemist for most marijuana cases and should be proceeding with a drug expert. A sample motion is attached and is available on the S: drive.

<< File: Motion to Admit Drug Expert_Marijuana.doc >>

I encourage you, in the appropriate case, to use this same strategy with heroin, as I know of no case where heroin has been found to be fake and it has a distinctive smell, texture, and appearance that is unlike any other substance.

III. Request a Longer Continuance for Non-Custody Cases

Attached, and available on the S: Drive, is a motion to continue the trial date for 6 months on non-custody drug cases. This is an update of a motion that was previously circulated. Please either use the motion or argue the content in the motion to seek a continuance for trial.

<< File: Drug Continuance Motion_post MD.doc >>

IV. No Rush Request for Non-Custody Cases

A reminder that we will not send "rush requests," for drug certifications from DPH for a case where the defendant is not in custody, unless you are close to reaching one year from the date of arraignment.

If there are any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Christina Miller

Chief, District Courts and Community Prosecutions
Suffolk County District Attorney Daniel Conley
One Bulfinch Place
Boston, MA 02114
(617) 619-4191
Cell: [REDACTED]

